

# Discovery of PIPE-505, a small molecule therapeutic for the treatment of sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) associated with cochlear synaptopathy

### Introduction

**PIPE-505** is a gamma secretase inhibitor in development for the treatment of SNHL associated with cochlear synaptopathy. A series of *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies in animal models of auditory loss have demonstrated two distinct mechanisms of action (MOA) leading to restoration of hearing function. Specifically, PIPE-505 1) facilitates SGN neurite growth via the Netrin/DCC pathway leading to regeneration of inner hair cell ribbon synapses and 2) increases Atoh1 expression via reduced Notch signaling leading to outer hair formation. These cellular regenerative effects together, restore auditory function.



**PIPE-505** restores auditory nerve synapses and hair cells



\*Daniel S Lorrain, Michael Poon, Karin J Stebbins, Geraldine Cabrera, Alex Broadhead, Jon Seiders, and Jeff Roppe Pipeline Therapeutics, San Diego, CA

### Neurite outgrowth mediated by Netrin/DCC pathway



### PIPE-505 restores synapses in vivo, mouse model





#### PIPE-505 restores synapses *in vivo*, guinea pig model # IHC synapses autoradiography 0.04 ך 225 Human PK predicti 5% dose level >30d sustained exposure 고 150-Time [h] 75-3

Vehicle

0.02%

0.2%

IT injection (30)



**PIPE-505** 

## **Isolated SGN** 0.006 -0.004 1µM 1505 +DCC ab











In summary, PIPE-505 restores SGN connections to inner hair cells and regenerates outer hair cells. These effects are mediated via two distinct  $\gamma$ -secretase substrates, DCC and Notch, respectively. A first-in-human study is planned to evaluate PIPE-505 in patients with SNHL associated with cochlear synaptopathy. Measures of audibility as well as speech intelligibility will be assessed.

## 304.01







\*Michael M Poon<sup>1</sup>, Kym I Lorrain<sup>1</sup>, Ariana O Lorenzana<sup>1</sup>, Karin J Stebbins<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Broadhead<sup>1</sup>, Thomas O Schrader<sup>2</sup>, Yifeng Xiong<sup>2</sup>, Jill Baccei<sup>2</sup>, Ari J Green<sup>4</sup>, Jonah R Chan<sup>4</sup>, Daniel S Lorrain<sup>3</sup>; <sup>1</sup>Biol., <sup>2</sup>Chem., <sup>3</sup>Pipeline Therapeutics, San Diego, CA; <sup>4</sup>Neurol., UCSF, San Francisco, CA

### Introduction

Inhibition of the muscarinic acetylcholinergic receptors by muscarinic antagonists (e.g., clemastine, non-selective accelerates the differentiation of benztropine) oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) into oligodendrocytes (OLs). Subsequent work has implicated the M1 isoform as being a key driver of this phenomenon. In-house chemistry efforts have identified a number of potent, selective M1 antagonists. Using these, we have characterized the effects of inhibiting M1 in a diverse set of *in vitro* assays, including OPC differentiation, cortical myelination, and organotypic brain slice. Our data show that a selective, small molecule inhibitor of M1 is sufficient to drive OPCs towards differentiation and that the resulting oligodendrocytes express myelin basic protein. Moreover, these OLs are functional, i.e., capable of axonal wrapping and induction of nodes of Ranvier. Of note, an M3 selective antagonist (Sagara et al., 2006) was not active in a rat OL differentiation assay. In concert with our *in vivo* data (also presented at this meeting), a strong case can be made that the development of an M1 selective small molecule antagonist is a promising approach for treating demyelinating diseases such as multiple sclerosis.

			Fold selectivity against M1				
Compound	d <u>M1 Avg K</u> i	(nM) <u>M2/M</u>	<u>1 M3/M1</u>	<u>M4/M1</u>	<u>M5/M1</u>		
Benztropin	e 1.14	16	2.67	8.21	2.7		
PIPE-359	0.144	130	14.4	45.1	17.4		
PIPE-307	0.349	73	18.5	38	259		
Compound &	57 1.13	22	7.11	29.9	5.37		
Compound 2	25 1.41	160	8.81	189	736		
Compound 7	77 1.48	8.8	41.1	13.5	54.5		
Compound &	51 2.34	390	113	148	538		
Compound 2	29 2.55	90	17.4	1.95	6.07		
Compound <sup>2</sup>	14 3.6	>7692	2 59.5	174	583		
PIPE-683	4.04	87	13.3	121	167		
Compound 1	07 7.55	120	38.4	93.1	n.d.		

#### [<sup>3</sup>H]NMS membrane binding

**Table 1** Pipeline compounds are potent and selective for human M1 in an

 mAChR recombinant membrane binding assay.

## Small molecule inhibition of the muscarinic M1 acetylcholine receptor by potent, selective antagonists facilitate **OPC differentiation**

	Calcium mo	obilizati	on	
		Fold selectivity against M1		
<b>Compound</b>	<u>M1 IC50 (nM)</u>	<u>M2/M1</u>	<u>M3/M1</u>	<u>M4/M1</u>
Benztropine	3.19	16.9	11.2	4.78
Compound 57	0.716	343	763	430
PIPE-359	1.69	102	43	26
Compound 77	2.1	98.8	1270	212
PIPE-307	2.35	555	64.2	54.2
Compound 29	6.69	57.4	347	91.9
PIPE-683	7.45	698	175	292
Compound 107	8.91	178	117	313
Compound 51	13.5	417	1590	24.5
Compound 25	19.6	128	199	241
Compound 14	51.5	124	217	58.4

 
 Table 2 Pipeline compounds are potent and selective in a cellular
 setting. Compounds were evaluated in CHO-K1 cells overexpressing one of M1-4 receptors for inhibition of ACh-induced calcium release at  $EC_{80}$ concentrations.







log[ACh], M

cells/well nM ACh

40.000

30.000

12nM

5nM

2nM

30000

그 20000-

-10

PIPE-683 pulse dose (rOPC diff; well average)



Figure 1 Pipeline compounds induce OL differentiation in rat OPCs at nM **potencies.** Compounds were evaluated by immunocytochemistry in rat OPCs (Mei et al 2016). ACh levels in OPC conditioned media measured by calcium flux in hM1-CHO. Pulse dosing using PIPE-683, a structural analog of PIPE-307, shows 6h exposure is sufficient to initiate OPC differentiation.

### Lysolecithin mouse brain slice



**Figure 2** Pipeline compounds induced *Mbp* in cultured cortical mouse brain slice demyelinated with lysolecithin. Slices were cultured at postnatal day 17, demyelinated and treated with compound. Mbp was measured by quantitative PCR. The highly M1 selective peptide MT7 was used as a positive control.



Figure 3 Differentiated mvelination OLs are Myelination competent. in a rat was evaluated cortical myelination assay as described previously (Lariosa-Willingham et al 2016). Myelin segments were identified by MBP colocalization with Tuj1 marker) (axonal and averaged per OL.



### 206.19



#### Human brain slice



Figure 4 Pipeline M1 antagonists induced Mbp in a naïve human cortical brain slice assay. Slices were incubated in MT7 or compound for 9 days prior to RNA isolation and QPCR.

Dunnett's multiple comparisons test	Significant?	Summary	Adjusted P Value
Vehicle vs. MT7	Yes	*	0.0136
Vehicle vs. PIPE-359	No	ns	0.1802
Vehicle vs. Compound 77	Yes	*	0.0444

#### Conclusion

Selective inhibition of M1 results in the differentiation of OPCs into mature oligodendrocytes. Here, we described the identification of potent, selective small molecule M1 antagonists as evaluated by [<sup>3</sup>H]NMS binding and calcium mobilization assays and further showed that these molecules induce myelination-competent oligodendrocytes. These molecules also induced *Mbp* in mouse and human organotypic slice models. Together, this provides compelling inhibition of M1 with small molecule evidence that antagonists developed at Pipeline have a positive impact in treating demyelinating disorders such as multiple sclerosis. At this point, a clinical development candidate has been identified and IND-enabling studies have been initiated.

#### References

Sagara, Y. et al. Identification of a novel 4-aminomethylpiperidine class of M3 muscarinic receptor antagonists and structural insight into their M3 selectivity. J Med *Chem*, 2006;49(19), 5653–5663.

Lariosa-Willingham, K.D., et al. Development of a central nervous system axonal myelination assay for high throughput screening. BMC Neuro, 2016;17(6).

Mei, F. et al. Accelerated remyelination during inflammatory demyelination prevents axonal loss and improves functional recovery. *eLife*, 2016; 5: e18246.

## 3 PIPELINE

## PIPE-359, a novel, potent and selective M1 muscarinic receptor antagonist as a therapeutic approach for remyelination in multiple sclerosis



\* Karin J Stebbins<sup>1</sup>, Michael M Poon<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Broadhead<sup>1</sup>, Geraldine Edu<sup>1</sup>, Ariana Lorenzana<sup>1</sup>, Kym I Lorrain<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Schrader<sup>1</sup>, Yifeng Xiong<sup>1</sup>, Jill Baccei<sup>1</sup>, Christopher Baccei<sup>1</sup>, Ari J Green<sup>2</sup>, Jonah R Chan<sup>2</sup> and Daniel S Lorrain<sup>1</sup>., <sup>1</sup> Pipeline Therapeutics, San Diego, CA; <sup>2</sup>UCSF, Department of Neurology, San Francisco, CA

#### Introduction

molecule approaches aimed at stimulating remyelination Novel small would greatly complement immunotherapies and provide significant neural protection in demyelinating conditions such as multiple sclerosis Recently, we described the muscarinic M1 receptor (M1R) as an (MS). regulator of oligodendrocyte precursor cell important differentiation and a promising target for drug discovery. We developed PIPE-359, a novel, potent and selective M1R antagonist and highlight its potential for remyelination.

### PIPE-359 binds to M1 with high affinity and demonstrates selectivity over other muscarinic receptors

	Potency (nM)		
Membrane binding, Ki	0.144		
Calcium flux, IC <sub>50</sub>	1.69		

	<b>Fold-selectivity</b>			
	M2/M1	M3/M1	M4/M1	Ν
Membrane binding, Ki	130	14	45	
Calcium flux, IC <sub>50</sub>	102	43	26	

### PIPE-359 promotes OPC differentiation *in vitro* and increases remyelination ex vivo

PIPE-359 dose-dependently differentiates rat OPCs to oligodendrocytes in vitro

MBP Hoechst





[PIPE-359](logM)



MBP Caspr Hoechst

![](_page_2_Figure_15.jpeg)

## 206.18

![](_page_2_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_0.jpeg)

## The muscarinic M1 antagonist PIPE-359 demonstrates remyelination in vivo through visual evoked potential (VEP) and electron microscopy (EM) of mice with experimental autoimmune encephalitis (EAE)

**Geraldine C Edu\***<sup>1</sup>, Karin J Stebbins<sup>1</sup>, Alexander R Broadhead<sup>1</sup>, Michael M Poon<sup>1</sup>, Ariana O Lorenzana<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Schrader<sup>1</sup>, Yifeng Xiong<sup>1</sup>, Jill Baccei<sup>1</sup>, Ari J Green<sup>2</sup>, Jonah R Chan<sup>2</sup> and Daniel S Lorrain<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Pipeline Therapeutics, San Diego, CA; <sup>2</sup>Neurol., UCSF, San Francisco, CA

#### Introduction

Multiple sclerosis is characterized by immune mediated myelin injury and progressive axonal loss. Visual evoked potential (VEP) is a clinically translatable model used in patients with multiple sclerosis due to its ability to measure myelin damage of the visual pathway through the latency of VEP<sup>1</sup> - which reflects the velocity of signal conduction along the visual pathway; while the amplitude of VEP is believed to be closely correlated with axonal damage of the retinal ganglion cells (RGC)<sup>3</sup>. PIPE-359 is a novel, potent and selective M1 antagonist with good oral exposure and brain penetration which is efficacious in rodent models of demyelination such as cuprizone and experimental autoimmune encephalitis (EAE). Flash VEPs were recorded from EAE mice to determine if a selective M1 antagonist can demonstrate functional remyelination. Spinal cords and optic nerves were collected for electron microscopy (EM) imaging and g-ratios were calculated to confirm remyelination.

![](_page_3_Figure_5.jpeg)

N1 Latency (ms)

EAE are first detected at day 11 and by the end of the study PIPE-359 significantly alleviates EAE clinical disability. **1D.** In same animals in parallel, flash VEP detects a difference in N1 latency with PIPE-359 as early as 7days post MOG induction, over time the effect becomes more significant out to 21days. **1E.** Each individual eye scatter plot show that N1-P2 amplitude and N1 latency measures are significantly correlated measures at 21 days post MOG induction and PIPE-359 (Y = -0.03580\*X + 2.971) has a significantly more positive slope than vehicle (Y = -0.01338\*X + 1.656).

(μV)

![](_page_3_Figure_7.jpeg)

due to axonal damage at the retinal ganglion cells (You, Y. et.al 2011) and PIPE-359 prevents N1P2 amplitude degradation over time. 2C. At 21days in a scatter plot of each eye N1 latency shifts and N1-P2 amplitude decreases are significantly correlated measures. PIPE-359 (Y = 1.365\*X + 19.88) had a significantly more positive slope than vehicle (Y = 0.4056\*X + 29.71)

![](_page_3_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_10.jpeg)

Fig 4A-D. Sample VEP waveform examples of each treatment group showing 3 VEP traces and the average trace. Top panel is the right eye, bottom panel is the left eye. 4E. Standard VEP waveform components. Amplitude ratio description and calculation. 4F. M1 antagonists do not show a degradation of N1P2 amplitude values and thus preserve of the symmetry of P1N1 and N1P2 amplitude waveforms

\*\*p=0.0026, ## p=0.0032, \*p=0.0356 \ vehicle via ANOVA with Dunnett

![](_page_3_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_17.jpeg)

\*\*p=0.0037, \* p=0.0111 vs vehicle ANOVA with Dunnett

Fig 5A. M1 antagonists all showed significant N1 latency difference from vehicle treated EAE mice by 21 days post MOG induction. B. Not all M1 antagonists but PIPE-307 at both 3 and 30mg/kg showed a significant difference in N1P2 amplitude from vehicle at 21days. C. N1 latency vs N1P2 amplitude linear regression (xy scatter data points not shown) at 21 days of each M1 antagonist where the desired profile is low N1 latency and high N1-P2 amplitude. D. N1 latency vs amplitude ratio linear regression (xy scatter data points not shown) at 21 days the desired profile is a positive slope where demyelination is seen with a negative slope (Y = -0.01194\*X + 1.446). M1 antagonist PIPE-307 at both 3mg/kg (Y = 0.03111\*X - 0.5940) and 30mg/kg (Y = 0.05091\*X - 1.282) achieves a positive slope very close to control mice (Y = 0.02540\*X - 0.08203)

- EAE mice.
- symmetry.
- studies have been initiated

Green AJ, Gelfand JM, Cree BA, Bevan C, Boscardin WJ, Mei F, Inman J, Arnow S, Devereux M, Abounasr A, Nobuta H, Zhu A, Friessen M, Gerona R, von Büdingen HC, Henry RG, Hauser SL, Chan JR. Clemastine fumarate as a remyelinating therapy for multiple sclerosis (ReBUILD): a randomised, controlled, double-blind, crossover trial. Lancet. 2017 Dec 2;390(10111):2481-2489.

- Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011;52(9):6911–6918.

![](_page_3_Picture_27.jpeg)

206.19

**Profiling M1 antagonists through VEP** VEP at 21 days — vehicle (C1) PIPE-307 3mg/kg PIPE-307 30mg/kg vehicle (C2) PIPE-683 30mg/kg vehicle (C3) PIPE-359 30mg/kg Control N1 Latency (ms) VEP at 21 days vehicle (merge) — PIPE-307 3mg/kg PIPE-307 30mg/kg ---- PIPE-683 30mg/kg PIPE-359 30mg/kg Control 0.5-N1 Latency (ms)

#### Conclusions

> VEP is a sensitive measure of remyelination due to its ability to detect impairment in the visual pathway before the onset of clinical disability in

> M1 antagonists demonstrate robust remyelination and axonal protection as seen by reduced N1 latency shifts and preserved VEP amplitude waveform

> Multiple compounds screened through this in vivo discovery paradigm have demonstrated remyelination thus confirming a small molecule selective M1 antagonist is a promising approach to treat multiple sclerosis.

> A clinical development candidate has been identified and IND-enabling

#### References

You Y, Klistorner A, Thie J, Graham SL. Latency delay of visual evoked potential is a real measurement of demyelination in a rat model of optic neuritis.

You Y, Klistorner A, Thie J, Gupta VK, Graham SL. Axonal loss in a rat model of optic neuritis is closely correlated with visual evoked potential amplitudes using electroencephalogram-based scaling. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012;53:3662.